Union Station Main Hall Section 106 Consulting Party Meeting July 20, 2011

## **Summary of Comments and Questions**

- 1. **Rebecca Miller, DC Preservation League (DCPL):** Asked for projected income that can be attributed to the new openings in the floor of the Main Hall.
- 2. **John Sandor, DCPL:** Took exception to the use of the term "temporary" when describing the LMUs, information kiosk, and signage over the openings. Suggested the term "movable."
- 3. Louise Brodnitz, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP):
  - a. Concern over the RETAIL sign over the escalator openings. Will there be other wayfinding materials?
  - b. Will all the little kiosks flanking the perimeter of the Main Hall stay?
  - c. Will there be only the two LMUs (Luxury Merchandizing Units), as shown in the drawings, or will there be more?
  - d. Will there be some form of guidelines to control the amount of clutter and task lighting on the proposed information desk?
  - e. Expressed concern that the rectilinear design motifs generally employed clash with the architectural lines of the station.
- 4. **Robert White, Rep. E.H. Norton:** Concern that the modern train directory board clashes with the character of the station's architecture.
- 5. **Gary Scott, National Park Service (NPS):** Why are LMUs needed since there is a proposed opening into the Lower Level?
- 6. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office (DC SHPO): The issue of a horizontal versus a vertical sign is not yet resolved. It is scheduled to be resolved as part of this consultation process.
- 7. Thomas Luebke, U.S. Commission on Fine Arts (CFA):
  - a. Appreciates elimination of the center café and the related allowance of the public to appreciate the monumentality of the space. However, need to keep the E-W axis as open as possible, and not clutter it.
  - b. The floor penetrations are in the correct locations, but they appear too wide, and question the need to have radii on the ends (they look dated and out-of-touch with the building's character).
  - c. Concern about the signs over top of the escalator openings are they necessary? They seem intrusive. Can pylons or gates be substituted in place?
  - d. Noted that a horizontally-oriented train directory board will look better than a vertically-oriented train directory board.

## 8. Nancy Metzger, Capitol Hill Restoration Society (CHRS):

- a. Are Portals 2 and 4 filled too tight with counters and back-of-house spaces?
- b. Are two sets of escalators really necessary? Are they needed?
- 9. Gary Scott, NPS: How do people with disabilities gain access to the lower level?

## 10. Steve Strauss, DC Department of Transportation (DDOT):

- a. Endorsed the quantity of elevators shown on the drawings.
- b. Do the escalators need overhead signs? Is there a better wayfinding technique than that?
- c. Noted that in 20 years, Amtrak's presence at the ticket counter may be considerably decreased, given the upsurge of electronic activity.

## 11. Nell Ziehl, National Trust for Historic Preservation (NTHP):

- a. Appreciated Amtrak's circulation flow sketch. How does the proposed layout relate to this flow?
- b. Is it possible to get a head count of people coming and going through the various openings into the station?
- 12. **John Sandor, DCPL:** Asked if a study has been conducted that shows where people go throughout the station, and why the proposed location for the vertical circulation relates to the Main Hall rather than train users?
- 13. **Gary Scott, NPS:** Noted that even in the 1970s when the National Visitor Center was installed in the Main Hall, people only asked guides how to get to the trains.
- 14. **Rebecca Miller, DCPL:** Questioned why the wayfinding investigation is considered mitigation. Wouldn't that be something the design team would do anyway? What makes it mitigation?
- 15. **Louise Brodnitz, ACHP:** If the wayfaring is considered mitigation, will it be subject to consultation and review by the consulting parties?
- 16. **Andrew Lewis, DCSHPO:** Need to remember to keep avoidance and minimization ahead of mitigation. Do not jump straight to mitigation.
- 17. **Shauna Holmes, CHRS:** Will comments on minimization and mitigation be accepted?
- 18. **Bill Wright, Committee of 100:** We need to alert the public to the project status.
- 19. Louise Brodnitz, ACHP: Are the public meetings hereby concluded?
- 20. **Nell Ziehl, NTHP:** Can information about the project be posted somewhere in the station so commuters and people passing through will have a chance to look at it?
- 21. **Gary Scott, NPS:** Will there be a press release to notify the public about the project and bring them up-to-date?